## PERMANENT MISSION OF THAILAND TO THE UNITED NATIONS

351 EAST 52ND STREET- NEW YORK, NY 10022 TEL (212) 754-2230 • FAX (212) 688-3029 Mr. Chairman,

At the outset, my delegation wishes to congratulate the International Law Commission on the success of the sixfight session. The Thai delegation would like to express our appreciation to Mr. Bernd H. Niehaus, for his excellent chairmanship, as well as other members of the bureau for their hard work and dedication during the current session Qur appreciation also goes to all members of the Commission for their contribution to further the progres sie development and codification of international law

Thailand would like togive some comments in the current work of the Commission Chapter IVregardingSubsequenAgreements an&ubsequenPractice inRelation to the Interpretation of Treaties Chapter V RegardingImmunity of StateOfficials from Foreign Criminal Jurisdiction, and Chapter XII: Other decisions and conclusions of the Commission

# Chapter IV: SubsequenAgreements an BubsequenPractice in Relation to the Interpretation of Treaties Mr. Chairman

With regard to Chaptel/, my delegation joins the othespeakers in congratulating Professor Georg Nolte on his first report The Thai delegation would like to offer some of our observations on the Common observations on the Common observation of the Common observation of the Common of

Firstly, Thailand supports the conclusion t h a t " a s u b s e q is an authengine e e m expression of the will of the parties. We also agree that such subsequent agreement does not avreed to the same title at the agreement teing interpreted along as it is an agreement regarding the interpretation of the treaty or the application of its provision ubsequent agreement date in whatever form the parties to the original treaty may choose

Secondly my delegation reserves ur position regarding the accuracytobé statements in paragraph 6 in the Commentary to draft Conclusion 3. This is beotheresterms accompanied by footnotes 92 to 95 are thoseted by Judge Guillaumen his Declaration in Dispute regarding Navigational and Related Rights The Declaration is the leand, twitched de Judg respect, does not necessarily freect the judgments of the courtos tribunals concerned In this context, my delegationals or reserves our position regarding the araccy of the referencted the term "wate

2

which is added by the Commission footnote 92of Document A/68/10 although Judge Guillame never mentions this termat all in his aforesaid Declaration

Thirdly, on paragraph 2 and 3 Of conclusion 4 e s p e c i a 1 1 y t h e u s e o f t h e part of the definition of subsequent practioner look forward to a clarification for the selection of the word at a later stage of the work on this is, swelch is already u s e d i n t h e C o m m i essoni o n 's the responsibility of States for ternationally wrongful acts

Lastly, regarding Conclusion 5 on attribution of subsequent practice, Thailand would appreciate further explanation and elaboration paragraph, 2on the conduct by norstate actors which may be relevant when assessing the subsequent practice of parties to altereat at actors averoles and purposes on the international plane which differom those of State Parties n order for conducts of non-state actors be relevant they should be prover with a degree of certainty that bey do not conflict with how State Parties intend to interpret the treat be reference, be are criteria might need to be adopted.

#### Chapter V Immunity of StateOfficials from ForeignCriminal Jurisdiction

#### Mr. Chairman,

Allow me to turn to Chapter Von 'Immunity of States officials from foreign criminal j u r i s.d i c t i o n "

First of all, Thailand would like tocommendSpecial RapporteurMadameConcepcion Escobar Hernandezend other ILC membersend congratulate them on the conclusion of draft articles. At a preliminary stage, we instruct to share some observation

Regarding the substance of the topvie, would like to begin bypresetting a clear picture of Thai domestic lawwhich might have severalcharacters in commonwith other national legislation. As a party to the Vienna Convention Diplomatic Relations 1961 and the enna Convention on Consular Relations 196,3 Thailand grants immunity from criminal jurisdiction to persons indicated in both Conventions Thailand also accords immunity to persons covered dost county agreements between Thailand and intergovernmental organization.

Beyond the aforesaid, Thai courts have hadexpoerience in dealing with the immunity of foreign State officials from T h a i 1 catiminal' justisdiction. Thailand is not a State Party to the Convention on Special Missions, either. Therefor Fhailandwishes to reserve our position on the I L C 's work on this topic until a later stage when we c an j udge whether the T L C 's w

### Mr. Chairman,

In the past few months, the international community has raissenderns over the protection of personal data in transborder flow of informat Spin cethis topic has already been included in the ILC 's Fterm programme of work since 2006, my delegatisuggesst