

Agenda item 81

International Law Commission Report on ILC's 69<sup>th</sup> Session

Chapter VII ±Peremptory norms of general international law (ius cogens) Chapter IX ±Succession of States in respect of State responsibility Chapter X ±Protection of the environment in relation to armed conflict

> Statementdelivered by Mr. WHIDQ 5DFRYL Counsellor Permanent Mission of Romania to the United Nations

> > New York, October 2017



573577 3<sup>r</sup> Avenue, New York, NY 10016 Phone(212) 682273, (212)682274, Fax: (212) 6937246 E-mail<u>newyorkonu@mae.</u>rbttp://mpnewyork.mae.ro



Sixth Committee

Chapter VIII ±Peremptory Norms of General International Law (Jus Cogens)

The Romanian delegation took note with great interest of the content **substantial** debates which have occurred during the consideration by the Commission of the topic Peremptory Norms of General International Law (Jus Cogens commends heartily the work of the Secial Rapporteur, Mr. Dire D. Tladi, whose-intepth knowledge of the subject is reflected in the text of the very detailes condreport.

Romania is largely supportive of the substance of the Draft conclusions 4 to 9 performed by the SpeciaRapporteur, which we consider be a finebalanced and accurate account of the existing international law in the field.

We would like to make some specific comments in respect of the Draft conclusions.

In relation to 'UDIW FRQFOXVLRQ 5RPDQLD DJUHHV Z followed and concurs that modifiation by a subsequent norm jours cogens (although present in the text of the article 53 of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties) not in itself an independent criterion for the identification jours acogen shorm.

With regard to Draft conclusion5, Romania remarks that the text sets out the definition of a criterion used for identifying a norm julis cogens

reflect the fundamental norms shared by the community of States; such norms a accepted by the unanimity or quassianimity of States. The same conclusion follows for the phrasing `Q R U P U H F R J Q L ] H G E \ W K H as @ Whele Q XO WHLOR Q D text of Draft Conclusion 7. Romania would suggest that a more stringent wording be use instead of `O D U J H P D M R U L W \ ´ D QaGleads RVX KOHG W DHYURPX UY ID G \G L

Draft conclusion 8 offers some useful distinctions and clarification which would help to differentiate between acceptance and recognition as a criterious foogen shorms and other concepts. Finally, Draft 9 is very helpful in exemplifying the types of materials which might be advanced as confirmation for acceptance and recognition of a frul international law as jaus cogen shorm. Romania would support retaining the text of Draft conclusion 8 and 9 as such.

Romania is looking forward to the further development of the topic by the Internationa Law Commission.

be developed by the ILC on this topic, namely: the woofrkhe ILC on the succession of States in respect of State responsibility could be a useful

Commission might want to consider a more general statement aimed at the protection people who have a very close connection to the environiment territories they inhabit which should include poor local populations

The Romanian delegation will follow with great interest the future work of the ILC on this topic in view of its completion.

7KLV FRQFOXGHV WKLV GHOH & ROQWW KRQV (VHMDHUU (LVH)// & RIL

Thank you Mr. Chairman.