## Second Drafting Session on Third FfD Conference

Civil society intervention Section + paragraphs 116

Statement delivered bys. Maria Bordallo Gil, Advocacy and Development Officer IPPF

Thank youMr. CoFacilitator

My name is Maria Bordallo. I represent the International Planned Parenthood Federation, Western Hemisphere Region, and I am speaking on behalf of the WWG on FFD and the broader CSO group working on FFD

We have suggestions for par 6 and par 8.

We partly we come wording in para 6 and every other encompassing reference to ensure women's equal rights and their empowerment. However, we do not support the commodification of women as agents to improve profitability and competitiveness of business (as suggested piara 42). Women are subjects of human rights, which requires enabling conditions to ensure all their human rights are fulfilled and guaranteed.

Furthermore, there is no mention of dedicated resources for gender equality and women's empowerment as stated in both the Monterrey Consensus and Doha Declaration, so the language here is regressive.

We suggest that the para reads as it follows

"We reaffirm that gender equality and women human rights and empowerment are essential to achieve equitable and incites sustainable development. We reiterate that gender equality is a basic human right, a fundamental value and an issue of social justice; it is essential for poverty eradication, environmental sustainability and development effectivenes. We commit to respect, protect and fulfil women's human rights and to fulfil our commitments togender mainstreaming into the formulation and implementation of development policies, including financing for development essential all levels and in all sectors and we ager to implement policies to ensure women's full

Civil society interventions on Section paragraphs 116

Statement delivered by Ir. Graham Gordon, Head of Public Policy, CAFOD and Society for International Development

Thank you Mr. Coacilitator. My name is Graham Gordon and I am speaking on behalf of CAFOD, the Catholic Fund for Overseas Development, and as a part of a broad coalition of civil society organisations (CSOs).

I have concrete suggestions forur specificparagraphs.

In para 7 **b** keep consistency with the overall document, we suggest that national financing frameworks are renamed national sustainable development financing frameworks. d

Civil society interventions on Section paragraphs 116

Statement delivered by S. Bhumika Muchhala, Senior Policy Analyst, Finance and Development Programme Third World Network

CoFacilitators and Member states, thanks for this opportunity to contribute. The opening paragraphs set the tone of the zero draft. There should be an acknowledgement of the the global financial crisis of 2000 and the years of economic recesssion that have ensued. The urgency of today's multiple crises, ranging from finance, climate, inequality, food and financialisation, and the implications this has for each chapter and thematic section of the Financing for Development zero draft must be rightfully invoked.

In paragraph 4, additional language to explain how developing countries have been affected by the 20078 crisis is important.Such language could include mention to developing countries being aff2( h)-4(a) 1 Tf r61ol1Td [(d i.1(t(ul)4(ti)14 obe)6.1(e)6(n )]TJ 0 -1.23

responsibilities shared by all, taking into account different national realities and needs and the differentiated impacts of national policies on global sustainable development prospects redefines the concept of global partnership for development thich has always stressed the obligations of developed countries to assist and support developing countries, and edde to reform the unequal world economic structures and governance that disadvantage developing countries.

The above sentence does not reaffirm developed countries' obligations to developing countries and instead removes the distinction between depetband developing countries through phrases shared by all and "differentiated impacts of national policies on global sustainable development prospects

The erasure of this distinction removes a historical perspective and the historical responsibilities of developed countries. It also removes a distinction between developed and developing countries and changes the definition of the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities (CBDR). Therefore this whole line should be deleted and replaced with language that refers to a commitment to æmhanced and strengthened global partnership for development which will contribute to the required enabling international economic environment, and which will support and assist developing countries with implementing their national development plans and actions.

Paragraph 11s a very significant paragraph that commits all countries to a new social compact, comprising social protection and public servid**es**wever, to respect the principle of international development cooperation and the Monterrey Consensus outcome, international support for developing countries as part of the means of implementation and global partnership is crucial to include in thisr**pg**raph.

Additionally, there can be problems with private funding distorting or skewing internationally agreed goals or the work of international organisations and nationally priorities. Therefore a phrase such as accordance with international aged goals and nationally determined priorities bould be added.