



UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL
TRIBUNAL D 'APPEL DES NATIONS UNIES



Portillo Moya
(Respondent/Applicant)

v.

Secretary-General of the United Nations
(Appellant/Respondent)

JUDGMENT



9/1 6/1



6 6/1

JUDGE LUIS MARÍA SIMÓN , PRESIDING .

1

UNEP/WHO

Portillo Moya v. Secretary-General of the United Nations.

2011/1

Facts and Procedure

2

1999

1999

Portillo

1999

3

1999

1999

1999

4

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

5

1999

1999

1999

1999

1999

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

5

1997

1997

19

19

10

19

19

19

19 19

19

19 19

19

19 19

19

19 19

19

1997

1997

1997

1997

19

19 1997

1997

19

19

19 19

19

19 19

19

1997

19

19

19

1

19

19

1997

19

19

19 19

19 19

19

without 19

19

within

Submissions

The Secretary-General's Appeal

12. The Dispute Tribunal erred in law in concluding that the imposed disciplinary sanction was disproportionate to Ms. Portillo Moya's serious misconduct and thereby substituting it with a lesser sanction of separation from service with compensation in lieu of notice with termination indemnity. The Administration has broad discretion in disciplinary matters and, absent obvious absurdity, proven abuse or arbitrariness, its discretion should not be disturbed. Noting that the Dispute Tribunal agreed that the evidence against Ms. Portillo Moya established serious misconduct and that the sanction of separation was justified, the Dispute Tribunal should not substitute its own judgment among the various options available to the Secretary-General such as separation with or without notice and with or without termination indemnity. The Administration's decision to separate with compensation in lieu of notice and without termination indemnity was not the most serious sanction available and was reasonable in light of Ms. Portillo Moya's wrongful actions over the years. It was also comparable to other

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

Ms. Portillo Moya's Answer

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100

Considerations

THE UNITED NATIONS APPEALS TRIBUNAL

5

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

2

50

¹ See *Kamara v. Secretary-General of the United Nations*, 1992
Haniya v. Commissioner-General of the United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near East.

2

2

6/16/16

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

2

1/1

1/1

2

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

1/1

8

8

8

h 8

(Signed)

(Signed)

(Signed)

8

8

8

8

h 8

(Signed)

8