

Introduction and Procedural History

1. The Applicant holds a fixederm appointment with the United Nations. He is currently a Road and Airfield Engineerat the United Nations Organization Stabilizaton Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (MONUSCIO) serves at the -P level and is based in Goma, Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC).

2. On 5 August 2014 the Applicant filed an Application with the United Nations Dispute Tribunal in Nairoibchallenging the decision denying him the lumpsum relocation grant for the shipment of his personal effects on being reassigned from Kinshasato Goma in 2014.

- 3. The Respondent replied to the Application 308 eptember 2014.
- 4. The Tribunal held a case managent discussion eeJlonal

8. On the evening of 15 June 2015, the Parties filed a motion requesting that the deadline be extended up to Re[

15. The Applicant was requested to contact the Movement rollo Stection (MOVCON) in order to make all the necessary arrangements, including the shipment of all his personal effects p to a maximum of 100 & lograms to his new duty station

16.

United Nations expense to a duty station for an assignment change of official duty station. The reassignment memo also confirms that **D6**A portion will be at the destination duty station rafe

23. "Duty station" is uniformly considered to be a city,traccountry, a province, area or a Mission. This is apparent from International Civil Service Commission (ICSO) Hardship Classification, OHRM's list of non-family duty stations as at 1 January 2014, the list of the largest duty stations that the Stacy General has reported to the General Assembly the categorization by the United Nations Department of Safety and Securation the Applicant's letters of appointment and personnel action forms

24. Pursuant tosection 11.1 oST/AI/2006/5, astaff memberwho is eligible may opt for a lumpsum payment lieu of the entitlement to shippingNo discretion is conferred upon the Administration to take a decision in specifises. There is nothing in ST/AI/2006/5 that could be plausibly read asting an exception for "Mission area" or "within country" travel

25. The Organization, subject to certain constraints, can amend administrative issuances to change benefits. It can grant **Res**pondentdiscretion to provide benefits. It can even abolish **rbef**its outright. In short, it can change the laWhat the Organization cannot do is ignore the law as it stands. If ST/AI/2006/5 provides that a benefit must be given, it must be given

## Respondent's submissions

26. There is no merit to the Application. Intraission transfers in the DRC are made using ldited NationsTransportation. For reasons of efficiency and reliability, the Organization transports staff members' personal effects to the location of their

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{4}{2}$  Staff rule 7.14(e)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Staff rule 7.14(f)

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$  Staff rule 7.14¢).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> (ICSC/CIRC/HC, January 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> (A/68/256, 30 August 2013).

new assignment. Since staff members do not inconsportation costs when they move intramission, there is no basis for payment of a lump significant of reimbursement of transportation costs.

The RLG [Relocation Grant] option does not apply to movements within countries. In these cases, staff members retain theirs rtght unaccompanied shipments

32. The OHRM Guidelinesacknowledgethat in a field operation mission staff may frequently be reassigned between duty stations within the mission area by the Chief/Director of Mission Support due to operational needs. For mbetween mission duty stations, the mission itself arranges the shipment of the staff member's personal effects from the previous duty station to the new duty statiooffoetarge using United Nationsair transportation and/or United Nationsvehicle.

33. The relocation grant option is not applicable where there is no prospect of the staff member incurring costs and, as such, no obligation to reimburse the staff member could possibly arise. Where there are no potential costs that may be reimbursed undestaff rule 7.15(d), the right to reimbursement does not arise, nor does the right to opt out and receive a relocation grant in lieu of reimbursemen

34. The application of staff rule 7.15(d) and exction 11.1 of ST/AI/2006/5 to intra mission transfers, as detailed paragraph 5 of the Guidelines, was confirmed in two communications from the Administration to the sister of the Personnel Division (FPD) guidance).

35. On 15 January 2007, the Personnel Management Support Service (now FPD) provided additional guidancence polying the relocation grant option in the context of peacekeeping operations and special political missions where it clarified that the relocation option is not applicable to movements within the same country or for within-mission transfers and that these cases, staff members retain their right to unaccompanied shipment of personal effects.

36. In a subsequent fax of 24 June 2009, FPD provided guidance on the movement of staff within a nefamily mission from 1 July 2009 and reiterated that staff membes transferred within a mission are entitled to shipment of their personal effects from the previous mission duty station to the new duty station, to be arranged by the mission, and that there is no option for payment of relocation igrainet of

shipment of personal effects for withimission transfers, even if the within ission transfer is to a different country within the mission area.

37. The Applicants argument thatthe Guidelines and the FPD Guidance unlawfully supplement the policy regarding relation grant and/or the determination of how it is to be implemented as merit. Staffrule 7.15(d) clearly states that staff members have a right to reimbursement for costs incurred for unaccompanied shipments. Section 11.1 of ST/AI/2006/5 provides that at firmember may opt for lump sum payment of relocation grant lieu of reimbursement for imbup [()] TJ ET Q q BT bph(t) (a) A change of official duty station shallke place when a staff member is assigned from one duty station to another for aopteri exceeding six months or when staff member is transferred for an indefinite period.

(b) A change of official duty station shall keep place when a staff member is assigned from a duty station to a United iblast field mission for a perioexceeding three months

41. The Applicant was being assigned from an a to Goma, both dutytations being within the MONUSCO mission area Since both duty stations are in MONUSCO, can that assignment be interpreted to mean that the Applicant was not entitled to a lumpsum relocation grant on grounds, as the Respondent informed the Applicant on24 January2014 that his reassignment "was in the same mission"?

42. Mission area was not defined in ST/AI/2006/5. However t66C Hardship Classification gives a list of duty stations located in a country, and the DRC where MONUSCO is Bunia and Gomære classified as separate duty stations. It is not DRC that is classified as one duty station but the two different regidentiania and Goma that are classified as sufety purposes of classification of family duty stations or nonfamily duty stations, OHRM's list of non-family "duty stations as at 1 January 201 classifies Bunia and Goma as two distinct duty stations. In addition the report of the Secretary General to the General Assembly by list of refers to Bunia and Goma as two dutyastons<sup>10</sup>.

43. The Tribunalfinds that the ICSC's list and classification of duty stations has formed, and forms the basis of, the Secreta General and OHRM's own lists and reports.DRC is clearly the Mission Area, within whice and Goma exists a distinct duty stations.

44. At the time the Applicant was informed he was being assigned to Goma from Kinshasathe relevant applicable law was ST/AI/2006/5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> (ICSC/CIRC/HC, January (20),4 <sup>10</sup> (A/68/256, 30 August 20)3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> ST/AI/2015/1 has since been promulgated to replace ST/AI/2006/5.

## 45. Section 11. bf ST/AI/2006/5stated that:

On travel on appointment or assignment for one yearooger, transfer or separation from service of a staff member appointed for one year or longer, internationally recruited staff members entitled to unaccompanied shipment under staff rules 107.22ff[sule 7.15], 207.20 [cancelled] or 307.6, as detailed by e, may opt for a lump sum payment in lieu of the entitlement. This lump

Case No. UNDT/NBI/204/063 JudgmenNo.: UNDT/2016/075 given the principle of legislative hierarchy as held by Judge Ebraarstens in Villamoran

At the top of the hierarchy of the Orgizzation's internalegislation is the Charter of theUnited Nations, followed by esolutions of the General Assembly, staffegulations, staff rules, Secretargenerals bulletins, a