
UNITED NATIONS DISPUTE TRIBUNAL

Case No.: UNDT/NY/2018/074

Judgment No.: UNDT/2019/181

Date: 18 December 2019

Original: English

Before: Judge Francis Belle

Registry: New York

Registrar: Nerea Suero Fontecha

PEACE

v.

SECRETARY-GENERAL
OF THE UNITED NATIONS

JUDGMENT

Counsel for Applicant:

Robbie Leighton, OSLA

Counsel for Respondent:

Steven Dietrich, ALD/OHR, UN Secretariat

Introduction

1. On 6 September 2017, the Applicant, a Senior Logistics Officer at the P-5 level, filed an application contesting the decision to not grant him a special post allowance (SPA) for performing higher-level D-1 functions for the period from 1 October 2016 until 3 February 2017. The case was registered with the Dispute Tribunal Registry in Geneva under Case No. UNDT/GVA/2017/068 and assigned to Judge Rowan Downing.

2. On 9 October 2017, the Respondent filed a reply.

3. By email of 16 November 2018, the Geneva Registry informed the parties that this case was transferred to the New York Registry, pursuant to the Tribunal's decision to rebalance the load. On 17 October 2019, the case was assigned to the undersigned Judge.

4. By Order No. 133 (NY/2019) issued on 31 October 2019, the Tribunal informed the parties that upon review of the submissions, the Tribunal decided that this matter can be adjudicated based on the papers before it.

5. Pursuant to Order No. 133 (NY/2019), on 11 November 2019, the Applicant filed a further submissions and stated that he agreed with the Tribunal that a hearing is not required in this case.

Facts

6. The Applicant currently serves as a Senior Logistics Officer at the P-5 level at the Global Service Centre (GSC) to the Department of Field Support with the United Nations Logistics Base in Brindisi, Italy. The Applicant held this position at the time of the contested decision.

7. On 1 July 2016, the General Assembly approved the Secretary-proposal to move the post of Deputy Director of Mission Support (at the D-1 level) from the Office of the Director to the Logistics Service Section with the new title Chief, Logistics Service, at the GSC to the Department of Field Support in Brindisi, Italy.

8. The incumbent of the D-1 level Deputy Director post was scheduled to retire on 31 October 2016.

9. On 21 October 2016, the GSC issued a temporary job opening for the position of Principal Logistics Officer (Chief Logistics Service) at the D-1 level in order to fill the D-1 level Deputy Director post following the s retirement. The Applicant applied for the temporary job opening but was not successful.

10. On 3 February 2017, the selected c Tm-99(0 1 505.78 726.12 Tmdat4(c)4(ti0-6(a)4ssuqm07ET0

- a. The duties and responsibilities of the post, as set out in the job classification notification in the case of established missions or in the job description in the case of special missions, are clearly at a level higher than the staff mem

- b. A post budgeted at the higher level is vacant or temporarily vacant and, for vacant posts, that the post has been advertised, unless the Department of Peacekeeping Operations has determined that a vacancy announcement should not be issued due to exceptional circumstances, such as the anticipated closure of the mission i

level) at the GSC, the position of Chief of Logistics Service was deemed to be at the D-1 level effective 1 July 2016. As the Senior Logistics Officer at the P-5 level at the GSC, the Applicant states that he performed D-1 level functions from 1 July 2016 to 3 February 2017. The Applicant contends that the

23. The Tribunal finds that the requirements of ST/AI/2003/3 were not satisfied in the Applicant's case. Section 5.4 stipulates that in considering SPA requests, the local SPA panel shall apply the eligibility criteria set out in sec. 4 and shall confirm, *inter alia*, whether a post budgeted at the higher level is vacant or temporarily vacant and, for vacant posts, that the post has been advertised, unless the Department of Peacekeeping Operations has determined that a vacancy announcement should not be issued due to exceptional circumstance, such as the anticipated closure of the mission in the near future.

24. It follows that a condition for eligibility is that the higher-level post must have been advertised at the time the staff member is performing the higher-level functions, unless there are exceptional circumstances to justify not issuing a vacancy announcement.

25. In the present case, it is undisputed that the post of Chief, Logistics Service (at the D-1 level) at the GSC was advertised on 21 October 2016. There is no indication in the record of the existence of exceptional circumstances to justify not issuing a vacancy announcement earlier than this date, and nor has this issue been pleaded by the Applicant.

26. Under ST/AI/2003/3, 21 October 2016 is therefore the earliest date the Applicant could be deemed to assume higher level functions for the purpose of determining

28. The Tribunal finds that the Applicant did n